Anisotropic electronic conduction in metal nanofilms grown on a one-dimensional surface superstructure
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We performed in situ conductivity measurements by multiple microscopic probes of Ag nanofilms grown on a periodic array of indium atomic wires on Si(111). Within the investigated thickness range the transport properties differ markedly from the bulk case. The ratio between the in-plane conductivity along and perpendicular to the In wires is 1.4 for the 3 monolayer (0.7 nm) thick Ag film and approaches unity with increasing film thickness. The observed anisotropy at the initial stage of the film growth is far larger than expected from the quasi-one-dimensional quantum well electronic structure of the films and ascribed to the carrier scattering at the film/vacuum and film/substrate interfaces. Our findings show that an ordered monolayer inserted at the interface enables drastic changes of the transport behavior of the whole metal nanofilm.
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Ultrathin metal films with quantized electronic states, induced by the electron confinement along the surface normal, show intriguing electronic, spintronic, and chemical properties and novel functional behaviors [1–4] that attract both academic and technological interest. One of the most relevant examples in this context is the interlayer exchange coupling, which gives rise to the giant magnetoresistance effect in magnetic layers separated by a nonmagnetic spacer [5]. The distribution of the quantized states in the energy-momentum space is defined not only by the bulk electronic structure of the material, but also by the film boundary conditions [6]. This issue is particularly important in the nanometer thickness range, since the surface and interface layers, i.e., the film boundaries, represent a large fraction of the film structure. Chemical or morphological modifications of the surface and interface can influence deeply the properties of the whole film.

In this paper we investigate the combined effects of size quantization and interface layer engineering on the conductivity of ultrathin Ag films. The deposition of indium on the Si(111)7×7 surface at elevated temperatures leads to the formation of a one-dimensional (1D) surface superstructure, consisting of a periodic array of In atomic wires [7]. This Si(111)4×1-In substrate acts as a template for the epitaxial growth of ultrathin Ag(111) films. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) shows that the Ag film surface is characterized by a stripe pattern, originating from stacking-fault planes parallel to the In wires, with the same 4x periodicity of the substrate [8]. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements reveal that the films have a quasi-1D quantum well electronic structure, in agreement with the results of the morphological analysis [9]. These experimental findings stimulate electronic transport measurements in order to identify the effects of the substrate-induced structural anisotropy on the electrical conduction of the Ag films.

We performed conductivity measurements by the microscopic four-point probe (4PP) method [10]. The ratio between the sheet conductivity measured along and perpendicular to the 1D structural modulation of the Ag films on Si(111)4×1-In is 1.4 for the 3 ML (monolayer, 1 ML = 2.36 Å) film and reduces with increasing film thickness. Our results clarify that the large anisotropy in the electrical conductivity can be ascribed only partially to the quasi-1D film electronic structure. Instead, a major role in the definition of the transport properties of the Ag films is played by the anisotropic carrier scattering at the film boundaries.

In previous works, anisotropic thin films are realized by using natively anisotropic substrates [11,12] or special techniques [13,14]. Here we suggest that the introduction of a self-assembled single atomic layer at the interface results in a drastic change of the whole thin film transport behavior.

Electric conductivity data were acquired with the independently driven four-tip STM system [15] operated under ultrahigh vacuum condition between 40 and 300 K. The 4PP measurements were carried out in a square geometry [Fig. 1(a)] with a probe spacing of several tens of μm (square-4PP method [10]). Ultrathin Ag(111) films were grown by Ag deposition onto the Si(111)4×1-In or Si(111)7×7 surfaces in the two-step procedure [16]. We define x and y as the directions perpendicular and parallel to the In atomic wires (or [112] and [110] surface axes, respectively). The ARPES measurements were performed on the VUV-Photoemission beamline (Elettra, Italy) and BL-18A (Photon Factory, KEK, Japan) beamlines.

Figure 1 shows a series of current-voltage curves measured at room temperature (RT) for Ag films grown on different substrates. The experimental geometry is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The voltage drop between two adjacent probes is measured with a current flow between the other two probes. The values of the resistance along the x and y directions are given by $R_x = V_{12}/I_{43}$ and $R_y = V_{14}/I_{23}$. For the 6 ML Ag
Fig. 1. (Color online) (a) Measurement geometry of the square-4PP method. (b) Current-voltage curves of 6 ML thick Ag(111) films grown on Si(111)7×7. (c)-(f) Those of (c) 3 ML, (d) 6 ML, (e) 16 ML, and (f) 26 ML thick Ag films grown on Si(111)4×1-In. Blue and red data were taken with probe configurations $V_{14}/I_{13} (R_x)$ and $V_{12}/I_{43} (R_y)$, respectively.

The two-dimensional (2D) sheet conductivities of the Ag films, $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$, can be derived from the measured $R_x$ and $R_y$ values by means of the following formulas [10,18]:

$$R_x = \ln(1 + \sigma_y/\sigma_x)/2\pi \sqrt{\sigma_x\sigma_y},$$

$$R_y = \ln(1 + \sigma_x/\sigma_y)/2\pi \sqrt{\sigma_x\sigma_y}.$$  

Figure 2(a) shows the $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ values for Ag films of different thickness on the Si(111)7×7 and Si(111)4×1-In substrates. The 2D conductivity (σ) [19], estimated from the bulk Ag crystal properties, is also shown as a black continuous line that drops as the film thickness is reduced. For every investigated coverage, the experimental conductivity values differ markedly for the thinnest Ag films on the Si(111)4×1-In substrate and become similar above 9 ML [22].

The anisotropic character of the electronic transport properties is emphasized in Fig. 2(b), which shows the ratio of the 2D sheet conductivities ($\sigma_x/\sigma_y$). The ratio is $\sim2.3$ for the Si(111)4×1-In system, in agreement with previous result [21]. $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$ amounts to $\sim1.4$ for the 3 ML Ag film, decreases with increasing film thickness, and becomes 1 at 26 ML Ag.

In order to identify the origin of the observed anisotropy we perform an analysis of the data reported in Fig. 2(b) based on the Boltzmann transport model. The 2D conductivity of a film is given by the following integral equation [24] ($\sigma_x = \sigma_{xx}$ and $\sigma_y = \sigma_{yy}$):

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2\hbar} \int dk_k v_{ki} v_{kj} \tau_k |v_k|^2,$$  

where $k_F$ and $v_{ki} (= V_k E_i/\hbar)$ are the Fermi wave vector and the Fermi velocity along the $i$ direction, respectively, while $\tau_k$ is the wave-vector-dependent relaxation time. The integral is carried out over the entire Fermi surface. Possible sources of anisotropy in Eq. (1) are the electronic band structure (through $k_F$ and $v_{ki}$) or the carrier scattering process (through $\tau_k$). The weight of these two factors on the experimental data set can be examined individually.

As an example, the procedure used to estimate $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ for the 6 ML Ag film on Si(111)4×1-In is presented here. Initially, we assume that the relaxation time in Eq. (1) does not depend on the wave vector ($\tau_k = \tau_0$) and focus on the effects of an anisotropic film electronic structure. The gray-scale ARPES maps for the 6 ML Ag film reported in Figs. 3(a) and (b) highlight different band dispersions along the symmetry axes of the system, in analogy with previous findings [9,25]. In the direction parallel to the In atomic wires ($k_y$) the sp quantum well states can be described as parabolas [Fig. 3(b)], interrupted by the interaction with the topmost Si band edges [26]. Along the perpendicular direction ($k_x$) the
same quantized states appear to be highly distorted near the surface Brillouin zone boundaries [Fig. 3(a)]. Yet, the effective mass ($m^*$) of these bands at the Fermi level, which determines the Fermi velocity, is almost equal along the two directions. In particular, our data compare well with the results of ARPES measurements for Ag films on Si(111) [9,27] and nearly free electron like model calculations [28], which give $m^* = m^*_{1-In} = (0.42 \pm 0.06)m_e$, $v_{kf} = v_{kz} = (7.6 \pm 0.23) \times 10^5$ m/s for the $n = 1$ state, and $m^* = m^*_{1-In} = (0.69 \pm 0.04)m_e$, $v_{kf} = v_{kz} = 9.6 \pm 0.23) \times 10^5$ m/s for $n = 2–5$ states. Here $m_e$ is the rest mass of a free electron and $n$ is the quantum number identifying a quantum well band.

The anisotropic electronic structure of the Ag films emerges more clearly by comparing simulated and measured Fermi surfaces [30]. Figure 3(c) presents tight-binding calculations for the Fermi surface of a freestanding 6 ML Ag(111) film [31]. The energy contours of the quantum well are almost perfectly circular near the $\bar{\Gamma}$ point. At larger in-plane wave vectors, they have a hexagonal-like aspect with corners lying on the $\bar{\Gamma}$–$M$ axes because of the gap opening at the $\bar{\Gamma}$ point [26]. The photoemission intensity map measured at the Fermi level for the 6 ML Ag film on Si(111)4×1-In [closed yellowish circles]. The $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$ values for freestanding films, estimated from tight-binding calculation, are also indicated [open triangles in Fig. 2(b)]. The film electronic structure contribution to the anisotropic ratio is 1.04 at most and appears to have only slight thickness dependence. Especially in the 3–7 Ag ML region the estimated $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$ values are much smaller than the experimental ones.

In the second step of our analysis, we evaluate the effects of an anisotropic carrier scattering or wave-vector-dependent $\tau_k$. In a thin-film system with a thickness smaller than or comparable to the carrier mean-free path, the surface and interface are the dominant sources of scattering [33]. Within the Cattani and Salvadori model (C-S model), which takes into account the effect of the surface/interface roughness, the 2D electrical resistivity of a free-electron-like thin film is given by Ref. [11]:

$$\rho_{1D} = \rho_{1D}^{bulk} \left[ 1 + \frac{4 \times 3^{1/3} \pi^{5/3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma_y/\sigma_x}\xi_i^2}{\Delta_1 d} \right].$$

where $\rho_{1D}^{bulk}$ is the bulk resistivity, $n_e$ the number of free electrons per unit volume, and $d$ the film thickness. The thickness corrugation, $\Delta_1$, and the correlation distance, $\xi_i$, in the $i$ direction, are adjustable parameters.

![FIG. 3. (Color online) Gray-scale band mapping and Fermi surface of the 6 ML thick Ag films. (a), (b) Gray-scale $E_{\text{bulk}}$-$k_z$ diagram for the Ag film on Si(111)4×1-In along the (a) $[\bar{1}12]$ (\perp In chain) axes and (b) $[1\bar{1}0]$ (\parallel In chain) axes. Calculated band structures at near the Fermi level are described as solid curves. (c) Fermi surfaces of the freestanding Ag(111) calculated by the tight-binding model. (d) In-plane photoemission intensity map at the Fermi level for the 6 ML thick Ag film on Si(111)4×1-In [29]. The left half of the simplified model for the experimental Fermi surface is drawn by dashed lines on the intensity map. The Ag(111)1×1 surface Brillouin zone and the Si(111)4×1 zone boundary are shown in the figure.]

![FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) The relation between the film thickness and the 2D resistivity of Ag/Si(111)4×1-In. Calculations by C-S formalism are added by a blue dashed curve and a red dotted curve. A green solid curve represents the function of $d^{-1}$ adjusted to match with the experimental points of the thick films. (b) Temperature dependence of the 2D resistivity of Ag/Si(111)4×1-In at “0 ML” [Si(111)4×1-In bare substrate], 3 ML, and 6 ML of Ag films.]

in the case of a 6 ML Ag(111) film on Si(111)4×1-In, although the energy contours $n = 3–5$ are indistinguishable in the photoemission intensity map. The 2D film conductivity of each quantized state is evaluated from Eq. (1) by substituting experimental parameters ($v_{k_x}, v_{k_z}$) mentioned above.

Figure 2(b) reports the anisotropic ratio of the 2D conductivity for each thickness calculated using the procedure reported above for the 6 ML Ag film on Si(111)4×1-In. The $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$ values for freestanding films, estimated from tight-binding calculation, are also indicated [open triangles in Fig. 2(b)]. The film electronic structure contribution to the anisotropic ratio is 1.04 at most and appears to have only slight thickness dependence. Especially in the 3–7 Ag ML region the estimated $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$ values are much smaller than the experimental ones.
The STM analysis reported in Ref. [8] seems to corroborate this conjecture. While the striped surface phase dominates for small Ag thickness, 2D flat films form at larger Ag coverages [8]. In other words, the crossover between the two thickness regimes highlighted in Fig. 2(b) is related to the disappearance of the surface stripes on the Ag film as shown in Fig. 5, which describes the thickness dependence of the film morphology. In the 9–16 ML Ag range, where the σx/σy ratio is very small and almost constant, this could reflect the contribution of the quasi-1D Fermi surface to the anisotropic transport properties of Ag films on Si(111)4×1-In caused by the stacking fault planes remaining in the film at the vicinity of a film/substrate interface.

The carrier scattering at the surface/interface affects the temperature dependence of the film resistivity. Figure 4(b) reports the temperature dependence of the 2D resistivity at “0”, 3, and 6 ML Ag films on Si(111)4×1-In. For both 3 and 6 ML thick films ρ changes scarcely over a wide temperature range, but increases drastically for the Si(111)4×1-In substrate below ~100 K, in correspondence with a metal-insulator transition [36–38]. In addition, for the 3 and 6 ML Ag films ρ is one or two orders of magnitude smaller than for the bare Si(111)4×1-In surface. The completely different behavior of the temperature dependence between in Si(111)4×1-In (“0 ML”) and in Ag films on Si(111)4×1-In (3, 6 ML) indicate that the contribution from the substrate, Si(111)4×1-In, is negligible. Moreover, the interface scatterings, but not the phonon scatterings, are considered to play a main role in the measured resistivity of Ag films on the Si(111)4×1-In according to showing almost constant resistivity with changing temperature unlike typical bulk metals. These results support our analysis that the surface/interface roughness dominates the resistance and its anisotropy in this range of thickness.

In conclusion, we measured the conductivity of Ag nanofilms grown on a 1D substrate superstructure. The observed anisotropy originates mainly from the anisotropic carrier scattering at the surface/interface of the films, rather than from the quasi-1D Fermi surface. The present results demonstrate that the transport properties of ultrathin metal films can be modified by inserting a single atomic layer at one interface, thus suggesting new strategies for the creation of functional nanostructures.
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ANISOTROPIC ELECTRONIC CONDUCTION IN METAL . . .


[19] The 2D conductivity $\sigma$ meets $\sigma = \sigma_x = \sigma_y$ in isotropic systems.


[22] The $\sigma_i$ ($i = x, y$) is larger for 11 ML than for 9 and 12 ML. This observation may suggest the existence of bilayer conductivity oscillations, already reported for ultrathin Pb films [39]. Systematic conductivity measurements as a function of the Ag film thickness are necessary to confirm the conjecture.


[30] As shown in Fig. 3, the Si(111)4×1-In affects the electronic states of Ag quantized states. An anisotropic distortion of Fermi surfaces has been clearly observed in ARPES measurements, while anisotropic $m^*$ at the Fermi level (Fermi velocity) cannot be detected because the difference between $m^*_x$ and $m^*_y$ is small compared to the APPES energy resolution. Therefore, we confirm the anisotropy of electronic states only to the shape of Fermi surfaces with the isotropic $m^*$ as a very simple estimation.

[31] We determine the tight-binding parameters to reproduce the band structure of bulk Ag obtained by the density functional calculations and then correct with fixing the parameters related to $d$ orbitals.


[35] As mentioned in the text, the root mean square of the height of stripe structures which corresponds to the difference of $\Delta$ between $x$ and $y$ directions is $\sim 0.008 \pm 0.003$ nm, and the correlation length $\xi$ which can be considered to be the stripe periodicity is 0.33 nm from the height profiles in the STM observation. This indicates that the difference between $\xi_x \Delta_x$ and $\xi_y \Delta_y$ is estimated as $(0.008 \pm 0.003) \times 0.33 = 0.01 \pm 0.004$ nm$^2$, which is consistent with our estimation. The root-mean-square roughness, which is a kind of average value, does not represent the height of steps in the stripe structures reported in Ref. [8] as 0.02–0.05 nm.


